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Key Power
Cancellation of errors effect: Errors average out when

- errors of individual classifiers are independent
- individual classifiers have expected error less than 0.5
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Issues

- Ignores correlation of predictions (main power of MV)
- Optimization of corresponding PAC-Bayes bound degrades the test error [Lorenzen et al., 2019]

C-bounds [Lacasse et al., 2007, Germain et al., 2015, Laviolette et al., 2017]

$$
\mathbb{P}(Z>0.5)=\mathbb{P}(Z-\mathbb{E}[Z] \geq 0.5-\mathbb{E}[Z])
$$

by Chebyshev-Cantelli inequality, if $\mathbb{E}[Z]<0.5$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \frac{\mathbb{V}[Z]}{(0.5-\mathbb{E}[Z])^{2}+\mathbb{V}[Z]} \\
& =\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[Z^{2}\right]-\mathbb{E}[Z]^{2}}{0.25-\mathbb{E}[Z]+\mathbb{E}\left[Z^{2}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$
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Issues

- $\mathbb{E}\left[Z^{2}\right]$ and $\mathbb{E}[Z]$ in the denominator make empirical estimation and optimization of the bound difficult
- Empirically weaker than the first order bound [Lorenzen et al., 2019]
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Our contribution

- New form of Chebyshev-Cantelli inequality that has tightness of C-bound and is easier to optimization
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$\Rightarrow$ Chebyshev-Cantelli bound with TND empirical loss estimate
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Range $K_{\mu}=\max \{1-\mu, 1-2 \mu\}$.
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$$

Theorem (PAC-Bayes-Bennett (Informal))
Assume $\tilde{\ell}(\cdot, \cdot) \leq b$ and the corresponding variance is finite. Let $\phi(x)=e^{x}-x-1$. Then for $\gamma>0$,

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\rho}[\tilde{L}(h)] \leq \mathbb{E}_{\rho}[\hat{L}(h, S)]+\frac{\phi(\gamma b)}{\gamma b^{2}} \mathbb{E}_{\rho}[\tilde{\mathbb{V}}(h)]+\frac{\mathrm{KL}(\rho \| \pi)+\ln \frac{1}{\delta}}{\gamma n} .
$$

## Contribution (2): PAC-Bayes-Bennett Inequality

Theorem (PAC-Bayes-Bernstein [Seldin et al., 2012] (Informal)) Assume $|\tilde{\ell}(\cdot, \cdot)| \leq b$ and the corresponding variance is finite. Then for $\gamma \in(0,1 / b]$,

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\rho}[\tilde{L}(h)] \leq \mathbb{E}_{\rho}[\hat{\tilde{L}}(h, S)]+(e-2) \gamma \mathbb{E}_{\rho}[\tilde{\mathbb{V}}(h)]+\frac{\mathrm{KL}(\rho \| \pi)+\ln \frac{1}{\delta}}{\gamma n} .
$$

Theorem (PAC-Bayes-Bennett (Informal))
Assume $\tilde{\ell}(\cdot, \cdot) \leq b$ and the corresponding variance is finite. Let $\phi(x)=e^{x}-x-1$. Then for $\gamma>0$,

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\rho}[\tilde{L}(h)] \leq \mathbb{E}_{\rho}[\hat{L}(h, S)]+\frac{\phi(\gamma b)}{\gamma b^{2}} \mathbb{E}_{\rho}[\tilde{\mathbb{V}}(h)]+\frac{\mathrm{KL}(\rho \| \pi)+\ln \frac{1}{\delta}}{\gamma n} .
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Note: $\quad 0.5 \leq \frac{\phi(\gamma b)}{\gamma^{2} b^{2}} \leq(e-2) \approx 0.72$

Bound the Variance [Tolstikhin and Seldin, 2013]
Assume $\tilde{\ell}(\cdot, \cdot)$ has range $c$. For any $\lambda \in\left(0, \frac{2(n-1)}{n}\right)$,

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\rho}[\tilde{\mathbb{V}}(h)] \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\rho}[\hat{\tilde{\mathbb{V}}}(h)]}{1-\frac{\lambda n}{2(n-1)}}+\frac{c^{2}\left(\mathrm{KL}(\rho \| \pi)+\ln \frac{1}{\delta}\right)}{n \lambda\left(1-\frac{\lambda n}{2(n-1)}\right)} .
$$

## From Oracle to Empirical

Parametrized Chebyshev-Cantelli oracle If $\mu<0.5$,

$$
L\left(\mathrm{MV}_{\rho}\right) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\rho^{2}}\left[L_{\mu}\left(h, h^{\prime}\right)\right]}{(0.5-\mu)^{2}}
$$

Chebyshev-Cantelli bound with PAC-Bayes-Bennett loss estimate
Theorem (Informal)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L\left(\operatorname{MV}_{\rho}\right) \leq \frac{1}{(0.5-\mu)^{2}}\left(\mathbb{E}_{\rho^{2}}\left[\hat{L}_{\mu}\left(h, h^{\prime}, S\right)\right]+\frac{2 \mathrm{KL}(\rho \| \pi)+\ln \frac{2 k_{\gamma} k_{\lambda}}{\delta}}{\gamma n}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\phi\left(\gamma(1-\mu)^{2}\right)}{\gamma(1-\mu)^{4}}\left(\frac{\mathbb{E}_{\rho^{2}}\left[\hat{\mathbb{V}}_{\mu}\left(h, h^{\prime}, S\right)\right]}{1-\frac{\lambda n}{2(n-1)}}+\frac{K_{\mu}^{2}\left(2 \mathrm{KL}(\rho \| \pi)+\ln \frac{2 k_{\gamma} k_{\lambda}}{\delta}\right)}{n \lambda\left(1-\frac{\lambda n}{2(n-1)}\right)}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$k_{\gamma}, k_{\lambda}$ : \# of parameter grid of $\gamma$ and $\lambda$.

## Experiment

Restrict $\mu \in[0,0.5)$. Test error of optimized majority vote over uniformly weighted baseline for the first order bound, the TND bound and the two new bounds, CCTND and CCPBB. The lower the better.
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## Summary: Whats New?

- Parametric form of Chebyshev-Cantelli inequality
- No variance in the denominator and as tight as original bound
- Allows efficient minimization and empirical estimation
- New second order oracle bounds for weighted majority vote
- Resulting empirical bounds are amenable to efficient minimization
- PAC-Bayes-Bennett inequality
- Improves on the PAC-Bayes-Bernstein inequality by Seldin et al. [2012]
- Can be used for bounding the tandem loss with an offset

